

From: [Bowling, Linda](#)
To: [Chin, Lucita](#); [Minter, Douglas](#)
Subject: RE: Information Request from PRBRC
Date: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 2:03:21 PM

Thanks for such a quick response Lucita. Douglas, once you and Sadie have determined the next steps let me know. I will proceed upon notification and further direction.

From: Chin, Lucita
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 1:27 PM
To: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa; Jackson, Dan; Minter, Douglas; Hoskie, Sadie
Cc: Bowling, Linda
Subject: RE: Information Request from PRBRC

DPP/AC



Lucita Chin
Associate Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA Region 8
1595 Wynkoop St.
Denver, CO 80202
303.312.7832

From: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 10:48 AM
To: Jackson, Dan; Minter, Douglas; Hoskie, Sadie; Chin, Lucita
Cc: Bowling, Linda
Subject: RE: Information Request from PRBRC

We have provided the letter now to 2 members of the press (they did not request it via FOIA).

From: Jackson, Dan
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 10:45 AM
To: Minter, Douglas; Hoskie, Sadie; Chin, Lucita
Cc: Bowling, Linda; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa
Subject: RE: Information Request from PRBRC

Thanks for the information that this has been handled. I received a similar call and passed it along to Lisa McClain Vanderpool, with copies to Douglas and Sadie, and was just waiting for an okay response before calling her back. Good to know she's been contacted...

From: Minter, Douglas
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 10:37 AM
To: Hoskie, Sadie; Chin, Lucita
Cc: Bowling, Linda; Jackson, Dan; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa
Subject: FW: Information Request from PRBRC

Sadie: below is the result of Linda's communication with Jill Morrison this morning on the Encana AE (thank you Linda).

At this point, it looks like Jill is only looking for additional documentation and her general questions were process-related. In regards to my earlier voicemail, there is no need for a call to handle more specific questions, etc.

After receiving this email from Linda, I told her that Jill's request for WOGCC's March transcript should be directed to the State as the primacy agency (see #2).

DPP/AC

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the content of the email body between the 'DPP/AC' header and the signature block.

Douglas K. Minter
UIC Team Leader
USEPA Region 8
(303) 312-6079

From: Bowling, Linda
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2013 10:20 AM
To: Minter, Douglas; Chin, Lucita
Subject: Information Request from PRBRC

As I mentioned earlier today Douglas, I just got off the phone with a follow-up call to Jill Morrison of the Powder River Basin Research Council. Jill had the following questions:

1. Can she receive a copy of EPA's Monday, April 11, 2013 comments to the WOGCC?
My response: I will work to get this information to you.

Note: I will work with Vicky Ferguson and you (Lucita and Douglas, etc.) prior to submitting any documents.

2. Can EPA send her a copy of the WOGCC's March 2013 hearing document?
My response: I will check to see how she can obtain this document since it has been originated through the
WOGCC.

3. Will Encana send a response to EPA's Monday, April 11, 2013 information request/comment letter directly
to EPA or will it go directly to the WOGCC.
My response: The WOGCC has primacy and is the lead in this process, so all communications in this
process will be generated through the WOGCC.

4. What will EPA's next steps be?
My response: We will review the information that we receive from the WOGCC and determine the next

course of actions based upon the information we received.

5. Jill may follow-up with us later if there are any questions regarding EPA's April 2013 letter.